

Date: 5th April 2016

Time: 8.00PM

Venue: Elm Dining Hall

Note-Taker: Sharlene Chow

Presiding Officer: Joey Lim

Attendance: Erika Terrones-Shibuya, Elson Ong, Sharlene Chow, Joey Lim

Absent: Gin Ong, Shreyasa Padhan (both with apologies), Glen Kilian Koh and Patrick Wu (recused from the case, therefore not a part of the meeting)

Agenda:

- Procedure for the hearing on April 6, 2016

Summary:

In this meeting, the Judiciary Committee discussed the procedure for the hearing set to take place on Wednesday, April 6, 2016. Those present unanimously passed the motion to rescind Jay B. Lusk's motion for a speedy, public hearing, deciding instead to have a preliminary hearing because the Justices are unclear of the merits of the case being heard.

Agenda	Discussion Points	Action
Format of the hearing	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Joey: Need to decide on how to proceed with tomorrow: Trial or Hearing?<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Joey has a phone callb. Elson: We all agreed on preliminary hearing on Facebook messenger.c. Sharlene: Ideal to have pre-trial hearing instead. Must have to motion to rescind Jay's motion for speedy trial. Justices seem unclear as to the merits of the case being heard in the Judiciary .d. Sharlene: How about the point on witnesses by Jay?	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> e. Elson: The burden of proof is not on the Judiciary. The plaintiff/defendant has to ensure that the witnesses are present. f. Sharlene: Furthermore, if we only have a hearing, witnesses need not be present. g. Elson: The Judiciary’s responsibility is to release summons and track attendance of witnesses to plan hearings. h. Sharlene: Legal advice should be given to Feroz so that he motions to dismiss the case. The points he made in his email points to that but I think that Feroz does not yet have the legal expertise for that. <p>2. Joey returns.</p>	
MOTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Sharlene: MOTION to rescind previous motion on speedy trial <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Unanimous decision to pass the motion. 4. Elson: Let us proceed with a hearing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Erika: since we do not have enough information anyways on whether the judiciary should try the case. b. Sharlene: Thus, pre-trial hearing? <p>Joey left – she is not feeling well</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> c. Elson: should we still call witnesses? d. Elson: Whether they call witnesses is based on the arguments of the defendants and plaintiffs as to whether this matter should be tried in the Judiciary. e. Erika: We should send a clarification email to all parties involved. f. Sharlene: We should address all parties unilaterally. <p>5. Meeting has ended.</p>	

Agenda for our next meeting:

-

Reminders/ Updates:

-